

**Amendment No. 7 – Attachment 1
Clarifications**

Tracking ID: 320

Subject: General Contractual Requirements

Question Number: 0

Comment Date: 2/25/2008

Section: Section L - Instructions **Specific Paragraph:** L.8.4.2 **Proprietary:** No

Comment: There seems to be a conflict in answers provided to NAIS RFP questions. Could you please review the following and provide clarification regarding small business goals? We've been working with understanding that the 14% goal is based on the total amount of subcontracted costs and not total direct costs, as clarified in ID 90. **Tracking ID: 90** Comment Date: 1/15/2008 Subject: General Contractual Requirements Section: Section L - Instructions Specific Paragraph: L.8.4.2 Question Number 81: The subcontractor goals identified in L.8.4.2 refer to a percentage of "total direct cost", and the table/template at L.8.4.4 refers to "percent of total subcontracted amount". Is it correct to assume that "total direct cost" is referring to the subcontracted portion of the total contract effort (including options and all sub-CLINs)? Answer: Yes **Tracking ID: 294** Comment Date: 1/31/2008 Subject: General Contractual Requirements Section: Section L - Instructions Specific Paragraph: Section L.8.4.2 Question Number 241: Reference NAIS Solicitation, Section L.8.4.2 states the Subcontracting Plan shall include the Offerors plans to meet the following Government established goals which are based on the total direct costs associated for the entire effort proposed under this contract. Offer's experience on previous Government Solicitations, the Small Business Goals are applicable only to the Planned Subcontracting portion of the Total Contract price - FAR 19.704(a)(2). Please confirm whether the SBA 14% goal is against the direct total contract costs, or the direct Subcontracting costs. Answer: The subcontracting 14% goal is against the direct total costs. Of that 14% to be subcontracted, the offeror must identify the percentage of the 14% that will be subcontracted and to whom, for example, small business, veteran-owned, etc.

Clarification: As cited in Tracking ID 294, the 14% goal is against total direct cost.

Tracking ID: 321

Subject: General Contractual Requirements

Question Number: 0

Comment Date: 2/26/2008

Section: Other

Specific Paragraph:

Proprietary: No

Comment: For estimating purposes, please clarify whether the contractor should use 9/29/08 or 9/1/08 as contract award date? Answers #4 and #115 provide conflicting dates. We respectfully request that Sept 29 is maintained due to our proposal preparation (costs, schedules, subcontractors, etc) to-date is consistent with ID #4. Gov **Tracking ID #4** dated 1/22/08 states, "For developing the cost proposal, use September 29, 2008 as the contract award date." Gov **Tracking ID #115** dated 2/22/08 states, "The Base Contract Period of Performance will be 24 months. For estimating purposes the Contract Award Date remains as - September 1, 2008 - and the Base Period End Date remains - August 31, 2010.

Clarification: As cited in Tracking ID 115, for estimating purposes, Offerors should use September 1, 2008 as the Contract Award Date.

Tracking ID: 322

Subject: General Contractual Requirements

Question Number: 0

Comment Date: 2/26/2008

Section: Section L - Instructions

Specific Paragraph: L.6.2

Proprietary: No

Comment: Paragraph A of Section L.6.2 requires that an original signed SF 33 be filled out. However question ID number 309 states that the Coast Guard doesn't recommend taking off the Headers. Should the original signed SF 33 be the one that was issued with the RFP which does in fact include the headers, or should the contractor go off and find a blank SF 33 form, fill it out and use that as the original?

Clarification: Offerors should use an original SF 33 as stated in Section L.6.2 of the RFP. This page will not include the section header. A SF 33 is hereby provided as a .PDF document with this amendment.